UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,369	04/20/2005	Andreas Axen	PU0284	4270	
	22840 7590 05/02/2008 GE HEALTHCARE BIO-SCIENCES CORP.			EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT			NOAKES, SUZANNE MARIE		
800 CENTENNIAL AVENUE PISCATAWAY, NJ 08855			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1656		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/02/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/532,369	AXEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SUZANNE M. NOAKES	1656				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	/ IO OFT TO EVEIDE . MONTH!	0) 00 THET (00) BAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 O	ctober 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-13 and 26-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 12,13 and 26-28 are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/532,369 Page 2

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Supplemental Election of Species Requirement

- 1. The Examiner acknowledges Applicants election to prosecute, without traverse, the invention of Group II, 12 and 13 in the response filed 12 October 2007. Applicants have withdrawn the non-elected claims 1-3, 6-11 and canceled claims 14-18 and 23-25. In addition, Applicants have added new claims 26-28 which are drawn to methods of for evaluating the potential or ability of a chemical entity to associate with a human K-Fab constant part-comprising composition which is similar language to claims 12 and 13. Claims 1-3, 6-13 and 26-28 are still pending. Claims 12, 13 and 26-28 will be Examined together. However, as stated below, the elected claims are drawn to two independent and distinct species which would be burdensome to search together and thus require an election of species for prosecution of the elected group and claims on the merits.
- 2. Currently there are no claims which are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: 1) *in vitro* methods of evaluating the potential or ability of a chemical entity to associate with a human K-Fab constant part-comprising composition and 2) *in silico* methods of evaluating the potential or ability of a chemical entity to associate with a human K-Fab constant part-comprising composition. The species are independent or distinct because as disclosed the different species have mutually exclusive characteristics for each identified species. For instance, the *in silico* methods would utilize a computer and the method steps of 'evaluating the potential or ability of a

Application/Control Number: 10/532,369 Page 3

Art Unit: 1656

chemical entity to associate with a human K-Fab constant part-comprising composition" can take place entirely within the confines of a computer, or "virtually". It is noted that the mere recitation of composition in the preamble does not actually limit the method to taking place *in vitro* or in a composition. On the other hand, the methods could also be carried out *in vitro* using real world tangible products (e.g. the actual human k-Fab itself) to evaluate the potential or ability of a library of tangible chemical compounds to associate with said human k-Fab constant part-comprising composition. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a

Page 4

claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUZANNE M. NOAKES whose telephone number is (571)272-2924. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.00 AM-3.30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,369 Page 5

Art Unit: 1656

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Suzanne M. Noakes/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1656

30 April 2008